

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/613,523	07/02/2003	Hisanobu Kanamaru	09637/000M888-US0	4557
	7278 DARBY & DA	7590 02/07/2007 PRV P.C		EXAMINER	
	P. O. BOX 5257		LE, HUNG CHARLIE		
	NEW YORK,	NY 10150-5257	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3663	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				02/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/613,523	KANAMARU ET AL.	KANAMARU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hung C. Le	3663		

	Hung C. Le	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	iress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendm tice of Appeal (with appeal	ent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date state than SIX MONTHS from th	e mailing date of the final reject	ion.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		IEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for rethan three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The approp eply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing	a brief, will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	•				
(b) They are not deemed to pleas the application in bot		rially raduaina ar aimplifyina	the incurs for				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fir	ally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		• •					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of I	Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 8, 10 - 15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	, ,	$ \alpha$					
•		PATEUT EXAMINER					
•	~4	(SETTE)					
	JAM	PATENTEXAMINEH					
	SUPERVISORY						

The amended subject matter in claim 5 and subsequent dependent claims raise new issues that require further consideration and/or searches.

Drawing objection in the last OA is withdrawn.

35 U.S. C. 112 rejection remains as the term "...about a common axis..." is vague/unclear as the term "common" as related to or referenced to?

35 U.S.C. 102 rejection based on Jurgen (EPO 1,075,931) remains valid.

The change in claim 5 to depend on claim 1 is a change in scope that requires further consideration and/or search. It may also constitute new matter.